Effect of Cadmium Exposure among workers in Iron-Steel Industries

Hamdy A.Mahdy El-Bassel¹, [,] Wafaa M. Ismael² Amal H. Abd El-Razek³and EL-Sayed A.E. .Hassanin ⁴

1,2,3,4 Department of Nutritional Biochemistry and Metabolism, National Nutrition Institute, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

Cadmium is a highly toxic metal with a very long half-life of 20-30 years in humans and accumulates in soft tissues, kidneys, and the liver. Aim of the study: The study aimed to assess the possible influence of occupational exposure to cadmium as well as smoking cigarettes on renal and liver functions in iron steel manufacturing. This cross-sectional study evaluated liver, kidney function, oxidative stress and lipid per oxidation among smoker and non smoker workers in iron-steelmanufacturing(mean age, 35 years) in Egypt.We measured the plasma levels of aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), albumin, creatinine, uric acid (UA), urea, cu/zn superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione reductase (GSH), malondialdehyde (MDA), blood hemoglobin β2and microglobulin in urine, adjusting for smokingand the amount of cigarette smoked. Subjects were stratified into direct and indirect exposure according to their place of exposure. Our results show positive associations between exposure to cadmium and adverse effect on Hb, reductase GSH, cu/zn SOD and GGT.

The outcomes due to acute and prolonged chronic cadmium exposure are oxidative stress, anemia, renal and liver dysfunctions. Cadmium, Renal toxicity, hepatotoxicity, Smoking

Introduction

Cadmium (Cd) is considered one of the most toxic elements in the environment, with a wide range of organ toxicity and long elimination half-life (Patrick, 2003). Cadmium (Cd) is a toxic metal present in food, tobacco smoke, air, water and other media, and it can enter human bodies through inhalation, ingestion and dermal contact, Cd can accumulate over time in various organs and tissues, but mostly in kidney cortex (Satarug et al., 2013). Cadmium concentrates in the kidney, liver and various other organs and is considered more toxic than either lead or mercury. It is toxic at levels one tenth that of lead, mercury, aluminum, or nickel. Exposure to cadmium is also increasing due to its use as a coating for iron, steel and copper (Ray et al., 2014). Bioavailability, retention and consequently toxicity of Cd are affected by several factors such as nutritional status (low body Fe stores) and multiple pregnancies, preexisting health conditions or diseases (European Food Safety Authority, 2009). In humans, cadmium takes a special ionic form, which resembles ionic calcium, this enables cadmium to disrupt biologic pathways involving calcium, leading to bone and muscle issues (Choong et al., 2014). More than 90% of Cd in the surface environment is the result of industrial and agricultural processes (Roggeman et al., 2014). Environmental exposure to cadmium occurs primarily through industrial emissions, smoking, and the consumption of contaminated food and water (Hyder et al., 2014).

Age and smoking status were the greatest contributors to blood and urine cadmium, older people and current smokers had the highest cadmium levels *(Garner and Levallois, 2016).*

Aim of the study

The study aimed to assess the possible influence of long-term human occupational exposure to cadmium and smoking cigarettes at the time of exposure on renal and liver functions in iron-steel manufacturing.

Subjects and Methods

Materials

Chemicals and kits were purchased from Merk- schuchardt chemical company (Hohenbrunn. Germany). Commercial kits were used for assessment of biochemical parameters. All other chemicals were of analytical grade.

Subjects

The current study was conducted on 397 workers (participants) healthy male workers exposed to cadmium from ironsteel industries in Egypt, aged 22-60 years (mean age, 35 years). Two exposure groups had been selected, an indirect exposure group of office, laboratory and supervisory personnel (n=122) (indirect exposure group); and a high exposure group of production workers (direct exposure group)(n=275).These two groups were divided into subgroups according to duration of exposure and who are smoker and those who had never smoked. This study wasconducted in (Helwan for Iron-steel, Mostored for Iron-Steel and El-Nahas Egypt Cos.,) Cairo and Alexandria, Egypt.

Experimental Design

Study protocols were reviewed and approved by the ethical committee of National Nutrition Institute in Egypt and consents were obtained from all participants. A total of 397 adult males were included in this study were interrogated in order to have detailed information about the age, medical history of (liver, renal diseases and diabetes), work period (<5 years, represent "160 cases" & >5 years, represent 237 cases) and smoking habits (who is smoker and amount of cigarettes smoked/day ">or < 20 cigarettes per day"). Blood pressure was measured twice in a sitting position after 5 min rest, and the average was recorded, who blood pressure was 140/100 mm Hg or more was considered a hypertensive case. Random venous blood sample 10 ml. was collected from the individuals (Participants) in tube containing anti-coagulant (EDTA). HB% and glutathione reductase (reduced form) were determined immediately in the field from whole blood; the rest of the sample was centrifuged for 10 min. at 3000 rpm to obtain the plasma. The plasma was divided into 3 ependurf tubes to estimate the liver, kidney functions and lipid peroxidation (MDA). The RBCs were washed twice by saline for cu/zn SOD determination. The plasma, washed RBCs and urine collected were stored at -40 C till analysis. Urinary ß2microglobulin, liver and kidney functions were performed only for individuals who diagnosed as a hypertensive case (about 48% of participants).

Biochemical Analyses

The following parameters were determined: Hemoglobin (Hb%) according to *(Hunter, 1978),* serum aspartate amino transferase (AST) and alanine amino transferase (ALT) according to *(Reitman and Frankel, 1957)*, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) according

to (*kochmar and Moss, 1976*),gamma glutamyltransferase (GGT) according to (*Webster, 1974*),albumin according to (*Doumas, 1971*), blood urea, creatinine and uric acid were carried out by (*Patton and Crouch, 1977*), (*Tietz, 1986*) and (*Tietz, 1994*) respectively. MDA was determined according to (*Uchiyama and Mihara, 1978*), Glutathione reductase activity was assayed according to (*Smith et al., 1988*), erythrocyte copper and zinc supper oxide dismutase (cu/zn sod) according to (*Winterbourne et al., 1974*) and β 2-microglobulin according to (*Poulik and Reisfeld, 1975*).

Since, the biochemical analysis for Hb%, GSH, MDA and SOD were done for 397 workers (direct and indirect exposure). While, the other biochemical analysis (Urinary β 2-microglobulin, ALT, AST, ALP, GGT, Albumin, Urea, Creatinine and Uric acid) were done for sub-samples (189 samples ~_48%, 55 samples from indirect exposure and 134 samples from direct exposure) chosen according to blood pressure (high blood pressure).

Statistical analysis

The results were expressed as mean \pm SD. Data were analyzed by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The differences between means were tested for significance using least significant difference (LSD) test at P <0.05 *(Steel and Torri, 1980).* For all tests, p < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

HB, GSH, MDA and Cu/Zn SOD obtained from indirect and direct Cd exposure workers were given in tables (1&2). There was significant difference in Hb concentration between non-smokers and

smokers <20 cigarettes in both <5 and >5 years of indirect exposure. However, there was significant difference in the antioxidant enzyme glutathione (GSH) (reduced form) for non smokers and smokers <20 cigarettes of >5 years indirect exposure. While, significant difference was seen in the lipid peroxidation marker malonal-dialdehyde (MDA) for non-smokers <5 and >5 years of indirect exposure subjects. On the other hand, there was significant difference in Cu/Zn SOD for non-smokers and smokers <20 cigarettes for <5 years between indirect and direct exposure, also between non-smokers and smokers for >5 years of indirect exposure.

It can be seen from Table 2 that there was significant difference in Hb concentration between non-smokers and smokers in both <5 and >5 years of direct exposure subjects. However, there was significant difference in GSH between smokers <20 cigarettes for <5 and >5 years.

On the other hand, Cu/Zn SOD shows a significant difference between non-smokers, smokers <20 cigarettes of <5 and >5 years direct exposure, also between smokers <20 cigarettes and nonsmokers in both <5 and >5 years direct exposure.

Kidney functions of indirect and direct exposure were shown in tables (3&4). It can be seen from Table 3 that there was significant difference in serum creatinine between <5 and >5 years exposure for smokers and non-smokers. Also, between indirect and direct for nonsmokers and smokers of <5 years exposure. There were significant difference in blood urea between smokers>20 cigarettes of >5 years indirect exposure and direct exposure. However, significant difference in UA concentrations were observed between non-

smokers <5 and >5 years of indirect exposure. On the other hand, β 2-microglobulin in urine shows a significant difference between smokers <20 cigarettes of <5 direct and indirect exposure. The result in Table 4 shows significant difference in serum creatinine between smokers <20 cigarettes <5 years and non-smokers >5 years of direct exposure. Also, significant difference in blood urea between smokers <20 cigarettes <5 years and non-smokers >5 years of direct exposure.

Plasma concentrations of liver functions of indirect and direct exposure were present in tables (5&6). It can be seen from Table 5 that there was significant difference in plasma albumin between nonsmokers <5 and >5 years of indirect exposure. Also, between nonsmokers & smokers >20 cigarettes<5 years of direct and indirect exposure. However, there was significant difference in s. albumin between smokers <20 cigarettes <5 and >5 years of exposure. Also, between smokers >20 cigarettes<5 of direct and indirect exposure. From Table 6 we can see that there was significant difference in ALT between smokers of <5 and >5 years of exposure. Also, there was significant difference in GGT for smokers >20 cigarettes of <5 and >5 years of exposure.

Discussion

Cadmium is an extremely toxic metal which has no known necessary function in the body. Cadmium toxicity contributes to a large number of health conditions. Cadmium concentrates in the kidney, liver and various other organs and is considered more toxic than either lead or mercury. It is toxic at levels one tenth that of lead, mercury, aluminum, or nickel. Exposure to cadmium is also

increasing due to its use as a coating for iron, steel and copper *(Ray et al., 2014).* The purpose of the present study to identify the adverse health effect of cadmium exposure on iron-steel male workers aged 22 to 60 years in Egypt.

The present study reveals that the workers who indirect or direct exposed to Cd showed significant reduction in Hb depending on smoking habit and duration of exposure.Our results in agreement with study by *Fatma et al. (2004)* conducted on rats showed that cadmium chloride(5 mg/kg BW) were orally administered caused changes in the blood indices of rats. The reduction in Hb content may be due to increased rate of destruction or reduction in the rate of formation of erythrocytes.

The present study tables (1&2) on reductase GSH and cu/zn SOD activities showed that as exposure increase in both indirect and direct exposure the activity of these antioxidant enzymes increase. The presented results were in accordance with the study by (*Fangming et al., 2013*) who demonstrated that the antioxidant enzyme system was initiated under the Cd exposure, i.e. almost all the activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase, catalase, glutathione peroxidase, and ascorbate peroxidase were elevated. Also, study by (*Stoiber et al., 2010*) who concluded that Cd stimulates glutathione reductase enzyme activity and increases glutathione concentrations as cells respond to Cd-induced stress by producing increased antioxidant capacity.

On the other hand, there was significant difference in Cu/Zn SOD for non-smokers and smokers <20 cigarettes for <5 years between indirect and direct exposure. This finding is in agreement

with (Olabsis et al., 2016) who reported that exposure to cadmium resulted in significant decrease in SOD activity in plasma, erythrocytes, brain and liver. Also, (Tobwala et al., 2014) who reported that administration of cadmium, both in vivo and in vitro inhibit SOD activity.

The result obtained in our present study show that significant difference in the lipid peroxidation marker malonal-dialdehyde (MDA) for non-smokers <5 and >5 years of indirect exposure subjects. This finding in line with study by(*Shi et al., 1999*) conducted on rats concluded that Malonaldialdehyde (MDA) concentration significantly increased in the blood of Cd-treated rats which accompanied by increased formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS).

Cadmium is a nephrotoxic metal that accumulates in the proximal tubules of the kidney and caused increased urinary excretion of low molecular weight proteins due to impaired tubular reabsorption (*Akerstrom et al., 2013*). In our study tables (3&4) we found no association between exposure to cadmium (direct and indirect) and renal functions in iron-steel workers. Our results in line with the results by(*Omid et al., 2011*) conducted on welders which showed no statistically difference in renal functions (β 2 microglobulin and creatinine) between welders and controls. This may be due to workers (direct and indirect) exposed are not high enough to produce nephrotoxicity, and hence much work is underway to define "critical renal concentration" at which cadmium-induced renal damage occurs.

The liver is the critical organ in the case of a long-term occupational or environmental exposure to heavy metals and tobacco smoke. The obtained results demonstrated in (Tables 5&6) revealed

that, there was significant difference in plasma albumin between nonsmokers <5 and >5 years of indirect exposure. Also, between nonsmokers & smokers >20 cigarettes<5 years of direct and indirect exposure. Moreover, a significant difference in ALT between smokers of <5 and >5 years of exposure. Also, there was significant difference in GGT for smokers >20 cigarettes of <5 and >5 years of exposure. Similar results were recorded by (Milnerowicz et al., 2010) who observed that, the value of GGT was high in serum of smellers who smoked 20 or over 20 cigarettes per day than in non-smokers smelters. Our results are in agreement with those obtained by (Salim, 2013) who concluded that the mean activity of serum GGT in cigarette smokers was significantly higher than that of non-smoker individuals. Previous studies also has reported a significant rise in serum GGT activity despite that in other studies showed that other liver enzymes like serum ALT, AST and ALP activity were no significant elevation in moderate cigarette smokers when compared to control group (Abdulrazaq, 2012). Our results are in agreement with the results by (Hussien et al., 2009) conducted on rabbit exposed to cadmium revealed that, a significant increase in plasma ALT, AST, urea and creatinine.

Study on rats by *(Markiewicz-Górka, et al., 2015)* observed that combined exposure to lead, cadmium, and manganese mixtures cause AST significantly differed from control and the Pb group.The liver plays a crucial role in detoxification and excretion of many endogenous and exogenous substances, and its detoxification systems are easily overloaded. The outcome of cadmium exposure on the liver is hepatic cell changes *(Elias, 2013).* The present study table 6 reveals that an elevation in serum liver enzyme levels in direct cadmium exposure. Our results are in agreement with results by *(kang et al., 2013)* who showed that environmental cadmium exposures are associated with an elevation in serum liver enzyme levels in Korean adults.

The significant increase in GGT between smokers and nonsmokers (Table 6) is in agreement with the results of *(Milnerowicz et al., 2010)* who observed that the activities of GGT were significant increased in group who smoked 20 or over 20 cigarettes a day in comparison to the non-smoking group. Also, *(Lee and Jacobs, 2009)* who reported that serum GGT within its reference range was linearly associated with important environmental pollutants, includinglead, cadmium.

Conclusion

Adverse health effects of cadmium occur due to acute exposure and chronic exposure. Acute exposure to cadmium usually occurs when occupational workers are exposed to high doses of cadmium in industrially processes. The outcomes due to acute and prolonged chronic exposure are oxidative stress, anemia, renal and liver dysfunctions.

Recommendation

In order to avoid harmful effect of exposure to cadmium, workers on iron- steel production lines should consume large amounts of the sources of antioxidants by eating onions, garlic, watercress,cumin, turmeric, pepper and fruit like guava and citrus fruit at breakfast. Also, workers on production lines should be using

the means of prevention, such as masks and filters, avoid smoking and awareness of smoking hazards.

- Medical surveillance involves monitoring of biological indicators of cadmium exposure and toxicity. This includes cadmium in blood (CdB), cadmium in urine (CdU), and beta-2-microglobulin levels in urine (B 2 -M).

Table (1): Mean ± S.D. of (HB, GSH, MDA and Cu/Zn SOD) in indirect cadmium exposure iron-steel workers.

Exposure Period		<5 YEARS	3	>5 YEARS					
Parameters									
	Non-	Smo	oker	Non-	Smoker				
	Smoker	<20	>20	Smoker	<20	>20			
	SITIOKEI	cigarettes	cigarettes		cigarettes	cigarettes			
No. of Cases	14	14	11	46	22	15			
HB (13-17 g/dL)	15.7±	15.1±	13.2	14.2 ±	13.6±	13.5±			
11B (13-17 g/uL)	1.4ª	1.8ª	13.2	1.7ª	1.4ª	1.1			
GSHmg/dl	46.3±	42.9 ±	41.8±	43.3±	41.1±	39.9±			
001 mg/u	13.2	10.5	9.5	12.9c	10.9c	9.9			
MDAnmol/ml	1.3±	1.4 ±	1.45±	1.391±	1.47±	1.68 ±			
MDAIIII0I/III	0.19 ^a	0.32	0.25	0.17ª	0.44	0.26			
Cu/Zn SODU/ml	174.6±	179.9	284.8±	128.7±	140.7±	165.7±			
	38.1 ^b	±29.3 ^b	42.8	70.3	38.6°	28.1 °			
a sigr	nificant	between	<5,>5 ye	ears of e	exposure				
b significant between direct and indirect exposure									

significant between smoker and non-smoker

С

		•								
Exposure Period Parameters		<5 YEARS			>5 YEARS					
	Non-	Smo	oker	Non-	Smol	ker				
	Smoker	<20	>20	Smoker	<20	>20				
	Children	cigarettes	cigarettes	omonor	cigarettes	cigarettes				
No. of Cases	46	59	16	89	50	15				
HB(13-17 g/dL)	15.51±	15.89±	16.3±	14.03±	13.5±	13.9 ±				
HB(13-17 g/uL)	1.5ª	1.4ª	2.3ª	1.8ª	1.7ª	1.97ª				
GSHmg/dl	38.01 ±	40.9±	44.3 ±	38.2±	38.8±	39.6±				
GSHIIIg/ul	13.5	12.4ª	16.6	8.3	8.9ª	10.7				
MDAnmol/ml	1.62±	1.496±	1.77 ±	2.1±	2.1±	1.908±				
MDAHIHOI/III	0.42	0.38	0.48	0.4	0.31	0.52				
Cu/Zn SODU/ml	139.6±	144.9 ±	146.9 ±	247.8±	223.1±	218.3±				
Gu/Zii 30D0/iiii	42.5 ^{abc}	32.8 ^{abc}	33.53	59.1ª	32.1ª	45.1				
a signif	$a \longrightarrow significant between < 5, > 5 years of exposure$									

Table (2): Mean ± S.D. of (HB, GSH, MDA and Cu/Zn SOD) indirect cadmium exposure iron-steel workers..

 $b \longrightarrow c$

significant between direct and indirect exposure

c ---->

significant between smoker and non-smoker

 Table (3): Mean ± S.D. of kidney functions in indirect cadmium exposure iron-steel workers.

Exposure Period Parameters		<5 YEARS	i	>5 YEARS			
	Non- Smoker	Smoker		Non-	Smoker		
		<20 cigarettes	>20 cigarettes	Smoker	<20 cigarettes	>20 cigarettes	
No. of Cases	7	6	5	20	9	8	
Creat.	1.57 ±	1.65 ±	2.0±	0.94 ±	0.922±	0.9 ±	
(0.6-1.2mg/dl)	0.43 ^{ab}	0.44 ^{ab}	0.19 ^{ab}	0.364ª	0.232ª	0.125ª	
Liroo(10 E0ma/dl)	42.57±	46.66±	55.00±	35.35±	37.66±	38.13±	
Urea(10-50mg/dl)	11.39	7.763	6.8	8.73	9.20	2.23 ^b	
110(2.7mg/dl)	4.528 ±	6.133±	4.5±	5.58±	5.877±	5.50±	
UA(2-7mg/dl)	0.706 ^a	0.791	0.95	1.11ª	1.037	1.541	
β2-microglobulin in	0.049 ±	0.064±	0.068±	0.070±	0.095±	0.107±	
urine(0-0.3 µg/ml)	0.033	0.038	0.051	0.062	0.074	0.103	
a si	gnifican	t betwee	n <5,>5 y	ears of	exposure		

significant between direct and indirect exposure

 $b \longrightarrow c \longrightarrow$

significant between smoker and non-smoker

Table (4): M	lean ±	: S.D.	of	kidney	functions	in	direct	cadmium
exp	osure i	ron-ste	el v	vorkers.				

Exposure Period						
		<5 YEARS	>5 YEARS			
Parameters						
		Sm	oker	Non-	Sm	oker
	Non-Smoker	<20	>20	Smoker	<20	>20
		cigarettes	cigarettes	SHICKEI	cigarettes	cigarettes
NO, Case	20	37	14	32	23	8
Creat (0.6.1.2mg/dl)	0.905±	1.0±	0.75 ±	0.965±	1.01±	0.762±
Creat.(0.6-1.2mg/dl)	0.188 ^b	0.261 ^{ab}	0.188 ^b	0.248 ^a	0.120	0. 226
Urea(10-50mg/dl)	38.025±	41.27±	38.250 ±	35.16±	35.17±	31.00±
Olea(10-50llig/dl)	7.554	10.06ª	6.551	7.12ª	6.79	5.13 ^b
110/2 Zm $a/d1$	6.510±	5.80±	5.10 ±	5.815±	6.24±	5.75 ±
UA(2-7mg/dl)	1.95 ^b	1.441	1.651	1.465	1.24	1.353
β2-microglobulin in	0.070±	0.097±	0.12±	0.11±	0.129±	0.149±
urine(0-0.3 µg/ml)	0.062	0.116	0.06	0.042	0.08	0.015
a	significant b	etween «	<5.>5 vea	urs of exi	osure	

a _____ significant between <5,>5 years of exposure b _____ significant between direct and indirect expos

significant between direct and indirect exposure

c ---->

significant between smoker and non-smoker

Table	(5):	Mean	±	S.D.	of	Liver	functions	in	indirect	cadmium
	e	xposure	e ir	on-ste	el v	vorker	S.			

Exposure Period Parameters		<5 YEARS			>5 YEARS			
	Non-	Smo	ker	Non-	Sm	Smoker		
		<20	>20		<20	>20		
	Smoker	cigarettes	cigarettes	Smoker	cigarettes	cigarettes		
NO, Case	7	6	10	20	9	8		
Albumin	4.23±	4.44 ±	4.60 ±	4.90 ±	5.02 ±	4.60 ±		
(3.5-5.2g/L)	0.53 ^{ab}	0.44 ^{ab}	1.21	0.634ª	0.551ª	0.810		
(26.0±	33.0±	12.00±	28.00±	41.0±	49.0±		
AST(0-40 0/L)	5.08	26.94	2.3	3.763	5.337	8.99		
ALT(0-30 U/L)	18.0±	13.0 ±	15.00±	17.00±	26.0 ±	30.0±		
ALT(0-30 0/L)	4.03	2.95	1.70	4.480	moker cigarettes ciga 20 9	8.13		
	18.0±	15.00±	17.00±	23.00±	30.0±	16.0±		
GGT(9–50 U/L)	5.67	3.871	2.3	6.281	8.94	5.78		
	91.14 ±	83.4 ±	104.0±	91.6±	113.3±	119.25±		
ALP(50-160 U/L)	11.7	8.76	11.5	5.85	10.81	22.5		
a	significar	nt betweer	ı < <u>5,>5</u> ye	ears of e	exposure			

 $b \longrightarrow$

significant between direct and indirect exposure

Table (6): Mean ± S.D. of Liver	functions in direct cadmium exposure
iron-steel workers	

Exposure Period Parameters		<5 YEARS		.>5 YEARS						
	Non-	Smo	oker	Non-	Si	moker				
	Smoker	<20	>20	Smoker	<20	>20				
		cigarettes	cigarettes	SHIOKEI	cigarettes	cigarettes				
NO, Case	20	37	24	32	23	18				
Albumin	5.045 ±	4.978 ±	5.125 ±	4.693 ±	4.852 ±	4.750 ±				
(3.5-5.2 g/L)	0.815 ^b	0.920 ^b	1.056	0.703	0.661	0.585				
AST(0-40 U/L)	25.0±	24.0±	20.0±	26.0±	29.0±	33.50 ±				
AST(0-40 0/L)	5.43	6.416	3.1	5.36	7.384	5.99				
ALT(0-30 U/L)	15.0±	13.0±	11.0±	18.0±	22.0±	25.0±				
ALT(0-30 0/L)	3.85	2.340ª	1.88ª	5.76	6.9ª	5.19 ^a				
GGT(9–50 U/L)	17.0±	17.0±	19.0±	19.0±	21.0±	31.0±				
GGT(9=30 0/L)	3.76	4.67	5.05ª	3.36	4.305	6.94 ^a				
ALP(50-160 U/L)	91.11±	94.02±	94.66 ±	105.71±	102.2±	125.8±				
ALF (30-100 0/L)	15.2	24.33	9.86	21.06	20.75	14.1				
$a \longrightarrow s$	ignifican	t betweer	า <5,>5 y	ears of	exposur	е				
$b \longrightarrow$										

>	significant	between	direct	and	indirect	ex

b --->significant between direct and indirect exposec --->significant between smoker and non-smoker

References

Abdulrazaq, S. (2012):

Effect of cigarette smoking on liver function test and some other related parameters. Master Thesis.

Akerstrom, M., Sallsten, G., Lundh. T. et al. (2013):

Associations between urinary excretion of cadmium and proteins in a nonsmoking population: renal toxicity or normal physiology? Environ Health Perspect., 121:187–91

Choong, G., Liu, Y, Templeton, M. (2014):

Interplay of calcium and cadmium in mediating cadmium toxicity. Chem Biol Interact., 211:54-65.

Doumas, B.T., Watson, W.A. and Biggs, H.G. (1971):

Albumin standards and the measurement of serum albumin with bromcresol green. Clin. Chem. Acta., 31: 87-96.

Elias, D. (2013):

Hepatotoxicity of Cadmium and Roles of Mitigating Agents. British Journal of Pharmacology and Toxicology. 4(6): 222-231.

European Food Safety Authority (2009):

Cadmium in food. Scientific opinion of the Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain, EFSA J., 980: 1– 139.

Fangming, Y., Kehui, L., Mingshun, L., Zhenming, Z., Hua, D. and Bin, C. (2013): Effect of Cadmium on Enzymatic and Non-Enzymatic

Antioxidative Defenses of Rice (ORYZA SATIVA L.). Int. J. Phytoremediation, 15(6):513-21.

Fatma, M., Mokhtar, I., Fatma, S. and Hoda H. (2004):

Cadmium-induced changes in lipid peroxidation, blood hematology, biochem-ical parameters and semen quality of male rats: Protective role of vitamin E and P-carotene. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 42: 1563- 1571.

Garner, R. and Levallois, P. (2016):

Cadmium levels and sources of exposure among Canadian adults, Health Analysis Division, Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario. Health Reports, 27(2):10-18.

Hunter, E. (1978):

Variable effects of iron status on the concentration of ferritin in rats plasma, liver, and spleen. J. Nutr., 108: 497-505.

Hussein, S., Abd El-Maksoud, H. and Agag, B. (2009):

Effect of Cadmium Toxicity on Some Biochemical Blood Parameters And Their Modulation With Certain Natural Antioxidants in Rabbits. Third International Scientific Conference Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, BenhaUniversity, Benha and Ras surd., 338-362.

Hyder, O., Chung, M. and Cosgrove, D. (2014):

Cadmium exposure and liver disease among US adults. J Gastrointest Surg. Jul 2013;17(7):1265-1273.

Kang ,Y., Cho, H., Lim, H., Seo, C. and Hong, C. (2013):

Effects of environmental cadmium exposure on liver function in adults. Occup Environ Med., 70(4):268-73.

Kochmar, F. and Moss, W. (1976):

Fundamentals of clinic. Chem.N.W., P. (604), W.B. saunders and company, Philadelphia.PA.

Lee, D. and Jacobs, D. (2009):

Is serum gamma-glutamyltransferase a marker of exposure to various environmental pollutants ?. Free Radical Research, *43*(6): 533-537.

Markiewicz-Górka I, Januszewska, L., Michalak, A., Prokopowicz,

A., Januszewska, E., Pawlas, N. and Pawlas, K. (2015): Effects of chronic exposure to lead, cadmium, and manganese mixtures on oxidative stress in rat liver and heart. Arh Hig Rada Toksikol., 66:51-62.

Minerowicz, H., Bizoń, A. and Stasiak , K. (2010):

Activity of gamma-glutamyltransferase in blood of smoking and non-smoking smelters. Przegl Lek., 67(10):910-3.

Olabisi, O., Dorcas. A., Olusegun, O., Samuel, N., Zainab, O. and Abdul-Rahman, I. (2016): Effect of Low Level Cadmium Exposure on Superoxide Dismutase Activity in Rat. Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 15 (1): 115-119.

Omid, A., Saeid, E., Maria, M., Seyed, A. and Khosro S. (2011): Urinary β2 Microglobulin in Workers Exposed to Arc Welding Fumes. Acta. Medica Iranica, 49(11): 748- 752.

Patrick, L.(2003):

Toxic metals and antioxidants: part II, the role of antioxidants in arsenic and cadmium toxicity, Altern. Med. Rev., 8: 106–128.

Patton, C.J. and Crouch, S.R. (1977):

Enzymatic determination of urea (according to the urease modified Berthelot reaction). Anal. Chem., 49: 464-469.

Poulik, M. and Reisfeld, R. (1975):

"Beta2-microglobulins," Contemporary Topics in Molecular Immunology, 4: 157–204.

Reitman, S. and Frankel, S. (1957):

Colorimetric method for the vitro determination of GOT and GPT in serum or plasma. Am. J. Clin. Path., 28: 56-63.

Roggeman, S., de Boeck, G., De Cock, H., Blust, R. and Bervoets, L. (2014):

Accumulation and detoxification of metals and arsenic in tissues of cattle (Bos taurus) and the risks for human consumption, Sci. Total Environ., 466: 175–184.

Ray, PD., Yosim, A. and Fry, RC.(2014):

Incorporating epigenetic data into the risk assessment process for the toxic metals arse- nic, cadmium, chromium, lead, and mercury: strategies and challenges. Front Genet., 5: 201.

Salim, K. (2013):

The influence of tobacco smoking on the enzyme activity of serum gamma glutamyl transferas (GGT). Zanco J. Med. Sci., 17(3): 490-494.

Satarug, S., Swaddiwudhipong, W., Ruangyuttikarn, W., Nishijo, M.& Ruiz, P. (2013):

Modeling cadmium exposures in low-and high-exposure areas in Thailand. Environ Health Perspect, 121: 431–462.

Shi, H., Noguchi, N. and Niki, E. (1999):

Comparative study on dynamics of antioxidative action of atocopheryl hydroquinone, ubiquinol and a- tocopherol against lipid peroxidation. Free Radic. Biol. Med., 27: 334-346.

Smith IK, Vierheller TL and Thorne CA:

Assay of glutathione reductase in crude tissue homogenates using 5, 5'- dithiobis(2- nitrobenzoic acid). Anal Biochem 175: 408-413, 1988

Steel, G. and Torri, H. (1980):

Statistical and computational models of the visual world paradigm: Growth curves and individual differences. J. of Memory and Language, 59(4), 475-494.

Stoiber, T., Shafer, M. and Armstrong, D. (2010):

Differential effects of copper and cadmium exposure on toxicity endpoints and gene expression in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 29(1):191-200.

Tietz NW. (1994):

Fundamentals of Clinical Chemistry. 2nd Edn., NW Tietz, USA.

Tietz NW. (1986):

Textbook of Clinical Chemistry. WB Saunders, Philadelphia, 1271-1281.

Tobwala, S., Hsiu-Jen, W., Carey, J., Banks, W. and Ercal, N. (2014):

Effects of Lead and Cadmium on Brain Endothelial Cell Survival, Monolayer Permeability, and Crucial Oxidative Stress Markers in an in Vitro Model of the Blood-Brain Barrier. Toxics, 2: 258-275.

Uchiyama, M. and Mihara, M. (1978):

Determination of malonaldehyde precursor in tissues by thiobarbituric acid testes, Anal. Biochem., 86:271-278.

Webster, D. (1974):

Clin. Chem. Acta, 53, 109.

Winterbourne, C, Howkins, R.E.; Brain, M. and Carrell, R.W. (1975):

The estimation of red cell superoxide dismutase activity. J. Lab. Clin. Med., 85:337-341.

تأثير التعرض للكادميوم على العاملين في صناعة الحديد والصلب

أ.د.م. حمدي عبد النبي مهدي الباسل, **د.وفاء محمد أسماعيل **د. أمال حامد عبد الرازق عماره ***أ.د. السيد عبد الخالق حسنين

* أستاذ مساعد كيمياء حيوية و النغذية- المعهد القومي للتغذية، **مدرس الكيمياء الحيوية المعهد القومي للتغذية،***أستاذ الكيمياء الحيوية-المعهد القومي للتغذية

الملخص العربى

زيادة تركيز الكادميوم في جسم الإنسان تؤدي إلى أضرار كثيرة، منها الإصابة بالفشل الكلوي، إذ يخزن الجسم الغالبية العظمى من الكادميوم في الكليتين، وفي الكبد والأعضاء التناسلية أيضا، وغالبا ما تحدث أمراض الكلى عندما تصل كميات الكادميوم في الكلى بتركيزات تصل إلى ٢٠٠ جزء في المليون، ويتخلص الجسم من الكادميوم عادة ببطء، ويرتبط هذا التخلص بنوعية الغذاء. لذا تهدف هذه الدراسة لتعيين تأثير التعرض لفترات طويلة للكادميوم و التدخين أثناء فترات التعرض علي وظائف الكلي والكبد للعاملين الذين يعملون في صناعة الحديد والصلب في مصر حيث يعد الكادميوم من العناصر الرئيسية في صناعة الحديد والصلب.

ولقد أجريت هذه الدراسةعلي مجموعة من البالغين و الذين لا يعانون من أمراض كلي أو كبد. حيث أنه تم محاولة إيجاد علاقة بين مدي التعرض للكادميوم و قيمة كلا من اسبرتات امينوترانسفيراز (AST), ألنين أمينوترانسفيراز (ALT), ألكلين فوسفاتيز (ALP), جاما-جلويتاميل ترانسفيراز (GGT), ألبيومين,كرياتينين,حمض البوليك (UA), البولينا, مالوندالدهايد (MDA) في مصل الدم, CU/ZN سوبرأكسيد داسماتيز (ZN SOD/ رحليتاثيون ريداكتيز (GSH) في كرات الدم الحمراء, الهيموجلوبين في الدم,بيتاه ميكروجلبيولين في البول. مع المقارنة بين التعرض المباشر و الغير مباشر للتلوث بالكادميوم و كذلك المدخنين و عدد السجائر الذين يدخنونها في اليوم. ولقد أوضحت الدراسة أن هناك تأثير عكسي لمدة التعرض للكادميوم علي كلا من الهيموجلوبين,سوبرأكسيد داسماتيز (CU/ZN SOD) ,جليتاثيون ريداكتيز (GSH), GGTلذا يجب تقديم النصائح للعاملين في مجال صناعة الحديد والصلب علي التأثير السلبي للكادميوم على الصحة.